<13:01:04> "Gilbert- Global Chapters Admin": do that again.. and its over. you dont share private comms in public

<13:01:17> "Gilbert- Global Chapters Admin": thats the rudest thing ever

<13:01:21> "Kari TZM AU/Asia-Pacific": Gilbert - why is it private?

<13:01:27> "Gilbert- Global Chapters Admin": i pirvated it to you

<13:01:28> "Kari TZM AU/Asia-Pacific": And why are you threatening me?

<13:01:30> "Gilbert- Global Chapters Admin": dont discuss wigth me

<13:01:42> "Gilbert- Global Chapters Admin": ive had it... david also gave me nonsense last night

<13:01:47> "Gilbert- Global Chapters Admin": party is over

<13:01:48> "Kari TZM AU/Asia-Pacific": excuse me - why can I not dicsuss this?

<13:01:56> "Kari TZM AU/Asia-Pacific": What is this "party" you refer to?

<13:02:01> "Gilbert- Global Chapters Admin": because alll thats coming from the epople here currently is noise

<13:02:10> "Kari TZM AU/Asia-Pacific": what do you define as "noise"?

<13:02:20> "Kari TZM AU/Asia-Pacific": I have asked for evidence and protocol.

<13:02:25> "Gilbert- Global Chapters Admin": objecting to everything and anything

<13:02:29> "Kari TZM AU/Asia-Pacific": I have asked for protocol to be applied universally.

<13:02:34> "Gilbert- Global Chapters Admin": you knwo where the protocols are

<13:02:34> "Kari TZM AU/Asia-Pacific": That is all.

<13:02:37> "Gilbert- Global Chapters Admin": no

<13:02:41> "Gilbert- Global Chapters Admin": you know what i aid

<13:02:48> "Kari TZM AU/Asia-Pacific": what are you alluding to?

<13:02:48> "Gilbert- Global Chapters Admin": share anything i said to you in private

<13:02:52> "Gilbert- Global Chapters Admin": and you are banned..

<13:02:57> "Gilbert- Global Chapters Admin": done deal

<13:02:58> "Kari TZM AU/Asia-Pacific": Why are you threatening me?

<13:03:00> "Gilbert- Global Chapters Admin": im not

<13:03:05> "Kari TZM AU/Asia-Pacific": What does anyone gain from that?

<13:03:06> "Gilbert- Global Chapters Admin": im swiping things clean

<13:03:15> "Gilbert- Global Chapters Admin": pointless discussions gone

<13:03:17> "Gilbert- Global Chapters Admin": enough

<13:03:18> "Gilbert- Global Chapters Admin":)O

<13:04:31> "Kari TZM AU/Asia-Pacific": Gilbert - I don't understand the probem with GCA representation in this matter. It is a matter of concern to the whole GCA. I have asked that protocol be applied universally.

<13:04:41> "Kari TZM AU/Asia-Pacific": I would not be behaving with integrity if I did not ask this.

<13:04:54> "Gilbert- Global Chapters Admin": ok

<13:04:56> "Gilbert- Global Chapters Admin": kari

<13:05:01> "Gilbert- Global Chapters Admin": ill do this once..

<13:05:06> "Gilbert- Global Chapters Admin": lets discuss the matter

<13:05:09> "Kari TZM AU/Asia-Pacific": Do what?

<13:05:14> "Gilbert- Global Chapters Admin": what protocol are you doubting about?

<13:05:20> "Gilbert- Global Chapters Admin": and whatd o you think is wrong here?

<13:05:27> "Kari TZM AU/Asia-Pacific": I am not doubting protocol. I am asking that it be followed to the letter.

<13:05:42> "Gilbert- Global Chapters Admin": ok what is the specific doubt?

<13:05:46> "Kari TZM AU/Asia-Pacific": Can it be demonstrated point by point how TZM Colombia are in breach of protocol.

<13:05:54> "Kari TZM AU/Asia-Pacific": This needs to be reported properly.

<13:06:03> "Kari TZM AU/Asia-Pacific": And it needs to consult the GCA.

<13:06:03> "Gilbert- Global Chapters Admin": ask them... i dont know what they are doing exactly.. im sitting in.. to check

<13:06:13> "Kari TZM AU/Asia-Pacific": Why don't you know what's going on?

<13:06:14> "Gilbert- Global Chapters Admin": i know miguel sanctioned the response int his meeting

<13:06:21> "Gilbert- Global Chapters Admin": i have been inactive for 5 months

<13:06:31> "Kari TZM AU/Asia-Pacific": Why did Miguel sanction that response without consultation with the GCA?

<13:07:29> "Gilbert- Global Chapters Admin": we dont need a uniform decision.. by the team.. just by protocol.. so i think he checked the protocols and recognised the error.. if you want.. we can ask them in english.. what the problem exaclty is..

<13:07:34> "Gilbert- Global Chapters Admin": again i dont really know

<13:07:46> "Gilbert- Global Chapters Admin": im not defending their decision either.. i was just talking to james

<13:08:54> "Gilbert- Global Chapters Admin": so there lies the answer.. if you want to know.. whether this is happening rightfully.. just ask them

<13:09:46> "Kari TZM AU/Asia-Pacific": I have been following this for some time.

<13:09:56> "Kari TZM AU/Asia-Pacific": I quote from protocol: #4. Removal of a coordinator
A coordinator can be “removed” from their position by their own resignation, or by intervention of the
global chapters administration or upper-tier coordinator.
Intervention from the global chapters administration or upper-tier chapter coordinator, can originate
via a well-founded complaint by a member, or the simple routine analysis performed by the chapters
administration. Each situation will be handled on a per-case basis, as in all situations there is a vast array
of potential variables and influences.
Please note that after intervention by the global chapters administration for the removal of a particular
coordinator, in the absence of imediate voluntary replacement, the process applied to the chapter is the
same as that described in point 2.a.

<13:10:05> "Kari TZM AU/Asia-Pacific": This needs to be evidenced

<13:10:19> "Kari TZM AU/Asia-Pacific": Nowhere does it say that a single GCA member can take a unilateral decision

<13:11:37> "Gilbert- Global Chapters Admin": im still team head.. and will sanction it.. if its well founded.. i dont know atm

<13:11:59> "Kari TZM AU/Asia-Pacific": And this from the chapter protocol: #4. Removal of a coordinator

A coordinator can be “removed” from their position by their own resignation, or by intervention of the
global chapters administration or upper-tier coordinator.
Intervention from the global chapters administration or upper-tier chapter coordinator, can originate
via a well-founded complaint by a member, or the simple routine analysis performed by the chapters
administration. Each situation will be handled on a per-case basis, as in all situations there is a vast array
of potential variables and influences.
Please note that after intervention by the global chapters administration for the removal of a particular
coordinator, in the absence of imediate voluntary replacement, the process applied to the chapter is the
same as that described in point 2.a.

<13:12:03> "Gilbert- Global Chapters Admin": the protocols were made by miguel and myself.. if we missed a point.. we will add it

<13:12:19> "Kari TZM AU/Asia-Pacific": Why do you have the power to make a unilateral final decision

<13:12:32> "Kari TZM AU/Asia-Pacific": particularly when you say you do not know the background of this case?

<13:12:44> "Gilbert- Global Chapters Admin": like i said.. im not doing anything without info

<13:13:03> "Gilbert- Global Chapters Admin": ive been managing the chapters for 4 years

<13:13:10> "Gilbert- Global Chapters Admin": i know what to do and whent o do it

<13:13:19> "Kari TZM AU/Asia-Pacific": It is not stipulated in the protocols that you have the ultimate dxecision - it says the GCA does - inferring the whole GCA, not juts an individual or a fee select individuals

<13:13:23> "Gilbert- Global Chapters Admin": its judgemtent by experience

<13:13:34> "Kari TZM AU/Asia-Pacific": What happened to rational consensus and following protocol?

<13:15:00> "Kari TZM AU/Asia-Pacific": Gilbert - any breach of protocol on the part of a coordinator is an abuse of position. I will hold you accountable.

<13:15:12> "Gilbert- Global Chapters Admin": it also says upper tier coordinator

<13:15:19> "Gilbert- Global Chapters Admin": which is waht i am to the GCA

<13:15:24> "Gilbert- Global Chapters Admin": im the team head

<13:15:34> "Gilbert- Global Chapters Admin": i was sure this was built in

<13:15:53> "Kari TZM AU/Asia-Pacific": So explain to me how it came to be that you have the power to make a decision that informed members of your team disagree with and that goes against protocol.

<13:15:54> "Kari TZM AU/Asia-Pacific": Please.

<13:16:16> "Gilbert- Global Chapters Admin": i set up this whole system... i sanctioned the officialisation of the colombia chapter

<13:16:18> "Gilbert- Global Chapters Admin": and iffffffff

<13:16:21> "Gilbert- Global Chapters Admin": and i say if

<13:16:24> "Gilbert- Global Chapters Admin": because i dont know yet

<13:16:33> "Gilbert- Global Chapters Admin": they have a solid ground to deofficialise

<13:16:38> "Gilbert- Global Chapters Admin": i will take it down again

<13:17:01> "Kari TZM AU/Asia-Pacific": So what is the content of the report on which you are basing your decision?

<13:17:08> "Gilbert- Global Chapters Admin": what decision?

<13:17:14> "Gilbert- Global Chapters Admin": i havent made any decision

<13:17:18> "Gilbert- Global Chapters Admin": i told you like 6 times

<13:17:21> "Kari TZM AU/Asia-Pacific": The decision you have said you will make in relation to the Colombian chapter

<13:17:32> "Gilbert- Global Chapters Admin": when did i say i made one?

<13:17:46> "Kari TZM AU/Asia-Pacific": I don't see that as consistent with what you said before.

<13:18:07> "Gilbert- Global Chapters Admin": please refer... i think i have been quite explicit as to the fact i havent made any decision so far

<13:18:17> "Gilbert- Global Chapters Admin": but Pleaseee.. link whatever made you hink so

<13:18:22> "Kari TZM AU/Asia-Pacific": So what is your position at present?

<13:19:27> "Gilbert- Global Chapters Admin": i have none, but i have reason to believe from the information given that the deofficialisation is justified.. i havent made my mind up though